

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,148	01/23/2001	Chien-Sheng Chou	XER 2 0395 D/A0772	3609
759	90 09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
Albert P. Sharpe, III, Esq.			PESIN, BORIS M	
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP 7th Floor 1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			<u> </u>	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
Cievelaliu, On	44114-2316		DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/768,148	CHOU, CHIEN-SHENG			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Boris Pesin	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 06 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	•	. 61:			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		INOT KEPET WAS TIEL	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered	hecause		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ut door NOT place the emplication	in condition for aller	anaa haaayaa		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does into a place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:		

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of "having a common attribute required for requisitioning user selectable items including material related to the common attribute and associate with the work site" requires further search and consideration.